UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,813	06/02/2008	Anders Peter Kolstrup	378/9-2265	6259
<sup>28147</sup> WILLIAM J. S.	7590 03/03/201 <b>APON</b> E	EXAMINER		
	JDOL SAPONE P.C.	PANG, ROGER L		
714 COLORADO AVENUE BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3655	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

wsapone@cssiplaw.com wjspatent@aol.com wsapone@colemansudolsapone.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	10/585,813	KOLSTRUP, ANDERS PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roger L. Pang	3655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	· —					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	7. pa. 10 abay 10, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0 0.0. 2.0.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-15-10.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The following action is in response to application 10/585,813 filed on June 2, 2008.

#### **Specification**

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2, the references to the claims need to be removed.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Claim Objections**

Claims 3-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim needs to be dependent on claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 3-4 have not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

### With regard to claim 1:

on line 1, the limitations following the phrase "in particular" are indefinite. on line 7, the limitation of "the stationary front plate" lacks antecedent basis. on line 7, the limitation of "the support plate" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,813 Page 3

Art Unit: 3655

With regard to claim 2:

on lines 1-2, the limitation of "the bearings" lacks antecedent basis.

on line 3, the limitation of "their bores" lacks antecedent basis.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson '270. With regard to claim 1, Nelson teaches a planetary gear incorporated in a gear housing 26 with an outer ring 8 and with planet wheels 9/11 and a sun shaft 6 for use at a high number of revolutions and high gear ratios, characterized in that the gear comprises two essentially diametrically positioned planet wheels 9/11 which partly roll against the outer ring and partly against a sun shaft, said planet wheels being provided with a shaft 34 which is mounted on a stationary front plate 21 and on a support plate 40, respectively.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Perry '324. With regard to claim 2, Nelson teaches the gear, characterized in that the planet shafts 34 have a predetermined radial clearance in respective bores 37 in the front plate 21 and the support plate 40, respectively (Col. 7). Nelson lacks the teaching of the shafts being supported by bearings in said bores. Perry teaches planet wheels 26 formed with integral planet shafts (Fig. 1) that are supported in respective bearings within a carrier (Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to modify Nelson to employ bearings and a single integral planet wheel with shaft structure in view of Perry in order to make the structure easier to assemble.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Nelson is currently the closest art. It is suggested applicant find some distinguishing characteristics to amend over Nelson.

If applicant amends claims 3 and 4 to be proper multiple dependent claims, it is suggested that applicant replace "wheel (2)" in claim 4 with --wheels (2)--. It is also suggested that applicant replace "a resilient bushing" on line 4 of claim 3 with --the resilient bushing--. It is also suggested that applicant replace "of which the" on line 2 of claim 3 with --of which an--.

Art Unit: 3655

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Takahashi, Otaki and LaBath have been cited to show similar transmissions.

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION** 

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile

number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and

examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to

submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place

the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing

and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete

list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general,

most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but

charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses

requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile

transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,813 Page 7

Art Unit: 3655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Le can be reached on 571-272-7092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roger L Pang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3655

> Roger L Pang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3655

February 25, 2011